Overview of Distributed Computing

<u>signin.ritlug.com</u> (pray it works!)



Summary



- Data crunching (supercomputers)
- Rendering (render farms, Hollywood, Pixar, etc.)
- High availability (failover of things like web apps)

Data crucnching is like the simulations NASA supercomputers do. <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3RqF8m65r8g</u> Article on Blender's render farm & Big Buck Bunny - interesting read: <u>http://bbb3d.renderfarming.net/explore.html</u> The Blender render farm uses BURP, which is based on BOINC (next slide) High availability is more like a mainframe sometimes. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ximv-PwAKnc

Data Crunching

- What supercomputers for research usually do
- Can include simulations
- Examples:
 - BOINC
 - SETI@HOME (Search for ExtraTerrestrial Intelligence)

einstein

LHC@home

SETI & HOME

home

- Einstein@home (LIGO, the gravitational waves thing)
- LHC@home (CERN's supercollider)
- Folding@Home (Protein folding, mostly medical research use)
- You can participate in these examples too!

https://boinc.berkeley.edu/ http://folding.stanford.edu/

Data Crunching: Process

Projects Servers 1. get instructions Computer joins the cluster/project Your PC 1. a. Note: "Cluster" means "can be viewed 2. download applications and input files as a single entity" 3. compute 2. Computer gets sent a workload 4. upload output files Computer does the work 3. 5. report results 4. Computer sends back the result 5. The controlling server may (cross-)validate the result 6. Public projects frequently have a points system to reward contributors a. Points usually based around computational complexity results agree; granted credit=123

Graphics from BOINC's page on how it works

Cross-validation needed b/c of bad actors & different hardware can get different results (for example CPUs & GPUs do math slightly differently)

Real Time Computing: Networks

This qualifies as distributed computing:



Multiple computers, networked (2 is still multiple)



You don't actually need a router/similar for networking, you can make it static





How? Process pt. 1: Manual

Take (a few) computer(s) and configure them by hand

Pros:

• Good for learning

Cons:

• Bad for any real use in most circumstances

Quick, move on to fun stuff

How? Process pt. 2a: Semi-automatic

Set it up on one computer & clone ("imaging" - see also: Ghost(script), PXE)

Pros:

• Uniform, deployable

Cons:

• Can't manage w/o re-deploying



PXE Booting Client 1

PXE Booting Client 2 DHCP/TFTP PXE Server

How? Process pt. 2b: Semi-automatic

Download & run a script (see also: setup.sh, Ansible, Chef, Puppet, Windows AD (GPO))

Pros:

• Uniform, easy to re-deploy

Cons:

• Still manual work

Quick, move on to fun stuff

We use Ansible in the TigerOS infrastructure



How? Process pt. 3: Automatic

Put management stuff in image

Pros:

- Manageable & redeployable
- Good for computer labs

Cons:

• Not most efficient use of resources

Quick, move on to fun stuff

RIT's labs are set up like this.

How? Process pt. 4: Virtualization

Run virtual computers ("virtual machines" aka VMs) on the same hardware

4.0 Virtualization Types



As you can see, on a bare metal HV the HV is the Host OS (or heavily integrated at a very low level (inc. kernel)), whereas the hosted/software HV is an emulator (somewhat, there is hardware-level integration)

4.1: Virtualization Features

Thin Clients

Run the computer in a VM & use a lower powered computer to access it

Overprovisioning

Allocate more resources than the host computer has to account for under-usage of resources

Abstraction

Resources can be easily reassigned or moved w/ minimal (if any) effect on the things that depend on it.

Live moving of VMs

A VM can be transferred state<u>fully</u> from one host to another & just have the system look at the new place

Abstraction: (see also: OpenStack, pfSense virtual IPs (Open vSwitch has similar?)). Thin clients allow a work-from-anywhere model.

Overprovisioning is to account for VMs not fully utilizing resources they are allocated (for example, allocate 150% of host's ability and get 100% usage by having VMs use 66% on average).

Virtualization: Summary

Pros:

 Lots, and we can use everything from the "How #3: Automatic" slide (not that that was as interesting)

Cons:

• Still could use resources more efficiently



How? Process pt. 5: Containers

Why run *x* copies of [insert OS here]? Let's not!



5.1: VMs vs. Containers

VMs

- Have to run multiple copies of [insert OS here] and the base libraries/packages it uses
- Have to maintain all of the systems
- Can over-reserve resources
 - It can block off all the RAM it's assigned even if it's not using it all for example

Containers

- Run Docker and abstract the basic OS-level resources the containers need to run
- Simply make a new version and swap out the old instance
 - Orchestrators can do this
 - Can be done since containers are ultimately stateless except when explicitly not
- Automatically assigns resources

Questions?

Attempt to demo RancherOS